

January 13, 1976

dealing with the mentally ill in that it would insert "the age of majority" and remove the reference to 21st birthday. It is obviously due to the fact that we have changed the age of majority to 19 rather than 21 and if we were to change it again we would then have our statute reading "age of majority".

PRESIDENT: You heard the motion. Any discussion. The question is the advancement of LB 620. Record your vote. Record.

CLERK: 26 ayes, 0 nays.

PRESIDENT: The bill is advanced. LB 621.

CLERK: LB 621 introduced by Senator Luedtke, Chairman of the Judiciary Committee. Read title.

SENATOR LUEDTKE: Mr. President, I move that LB 621 be advanced to E & R Initial. LB 621, again, refers to erroneous internal references to section numbers. This deals, as you noted in hearing the title read, to the Department of Correctional Services, section 1 erroneously used as subsections 7 and 11 of 83.170 and section 2 changes 83-176 to 83-1107 which would be the correct reference.

PRESIDENT: You have heard the motion. Any further discussion. The question is the advancement of LB 621. Record your vote. Record.

CLERK: 25 ayes, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: The bill is advanced. LB 622, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: LB 622 introduced by Senator Luedtke, Chairman of the Judiciary Committee. Read title.

SENATOR LUEDTKE: Mr. President, I move that LB 622 be advanced to E & R Initial. LB 622 deals with notary publics and the taking of acknowledgments and clarifies 64-211 to insert language requiring that an acknowledgment be taken by one who is a notary. This occurred in the legislation which was passed by internal references to realtors, and particularly in this instance, attorneys who were to be taking acknowledgments and it did not state that they had to be notaries and so we inadvertently ran into a situation where it appeared that attorneys could go into court, take an acknowledgment or do it on a deed and not be a notary which was not intended in the original act at all, and this was, I believe, Senator Duis bill and he knows that it was not intended and so this is to correct an obvious oversight in the wording of the bill, to say that they must be notary publics.

PRESIDENT: You heard the motion. Any further discussion. The question is the advancement of LB 622 to E & R Initial. Record your vote. Record.

CLERK: 26 ayes, 0 nays.